	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/632,876	EL KABBANI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in t 35) or other appropriate commun RIGHTS. This application is su	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-16</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Exami	ner.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	ave been received. ave been received in Application	No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATI noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDOI THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	E" of this communication to file a NMENT of this application.	a reply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sub INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which g	omitted. Note the attached EXAN gives reason(s) why the oath or o	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") in (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsport (b) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFI each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the properties of the prop	erson's Patent Drawing Review er's Amendment / Comment or in R 1.84(c)) should be written on the	n the Office action of drawings in the front (not the back) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the department attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 	posit of BIOLOGICAL MATEI IT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOL	RIAL must be submitted. Note the LOGICAL MATERIAL.
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No./Mail Date	B) 6. ⊠ Interview Sur Paper No./M B/08), 7. ⊠ Examiner's A	fail Date mendment/Comment statement of Reasons for Allowance

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/632,876

Art Unit: 1623

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE/EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Kevin Casey on May 25, 2004 the following election/restriction was set forth:

I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a process of crystallization of sucralose, classified in class 536, subclass 124.

II. Claims 26-30 drawn to a product comprising sucralose, classified in class 514, subclass 23.

Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, namely the process or crystallization has a different mode of operation and different functions compared with a composition comprising sucralose.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-16. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 17-22 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1623

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The closest prior art, Jackson (GB 2169601) teaches the incorporation of sodium acetate into sucralose via co-crystallization in ethanol as the solvent. The process of crystallization of sucralose from an aqueous solution comprising adjusting the pH by using a buffer in general and sodium acetate in particular as instantly claimed is neither taught or fairly suggested by the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by the applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance".

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Kevin Casey on 28 May 2004.

Claims 17-22 have been cancelled.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to James O.

Wilson, Primary Examiner in Art Unit 1623 at 571-272-0661

JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600